Bloomberg Law<sup>-</sup>

Request a Demo

Log In

## **Court Dockets**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION,

ECF CASE

Case No.:\_

Plaintiff.

v

DONALD WRAY RODGERS and THREE BRIDGES TRADING FUND, LLC,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AND FOR CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES UNDER THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission"), by and through its attorneys, alleges as follows:

## I. SUMMARY

- From at least January 2022 through in or about November 2022 (the "Relevant Period"), Donald Wray Rodgers ("Rodgers"), individually and as agent and principal of Three Bridges Trading Fund, LLC ("Three Bridges") (collectively "Defendants"), operated a fraudulent scheme in which Defendants solicited and accepted funds for a pooled investment (the "TB Pool") in commodity futures contracts.
- 2. Rodgers, as principal and agent of Three Bridges, knowingly or recklessly made fraudulent and material misrepresentations and omissions about his commodities trading and returns to persuade individuals ("Pool Participants") to transfer at least \$2 million to Defendants for the purpose of participating in the TB Pool. Through such solicitations, Defendants persuaded approximately 50 individuals to transfer money to Three Bridges. Defendants sent

6/8/24, 3:41 PM Bloomberg Law

periodic account statements to the Pool Participants, which consistently but falsely represented profits from the trading of commodity futures contracts.

- 3. Defendants misappropriated much of the money received from Pool Participants. Some pool funds were misappropriated for Three Bridges' benefit to pay certain Pool Participants either purported profits or withdrawals from funds deposited by other Pool Participants in the manner of a Ponzi scheme, rather than from trading profits as Defendants claimed.
- 4. As trading profits failed to materialize, Defendants attempted to conceal their fraudulent scheme by issuing false account documents to Pool Participants: first creating false account statements to convince Pool Participants that Three Bridges remained solvent, and later, creating false trade confirmations to wrongfully explain Three Bridges' loss of Pool Participants' funds.
- 5. By virtue of this conduct and the conduct further described herein, Defendants have engaged, are engaging, or are about to engage in acts and practices in violation of anti-fraud Sections 4b(1)(A)-(C), 4o(1)(A)-(B), and 6(c)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(1)(A)-(C), 6o(1)(A)-(B), 9(1), and Commission Regulation ("Regulation") 180.1(a) (1)-(3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1)-(3) (2023). Furthermore, Defendants have violated Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(a)(1), (b)-(c), which prohibits a commodity pool operator ('CPO") from failing to operate a commodity pool as a separate legal entity, failing to receive funds in the pool's name, and from commingling property of a pool it operates or intends to operate with property of another person.
- In addition to the above-described fraudulent conduct, Defendant Three Bridges
  made use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce and acted at all

2

6/8/24, 3:41 PM	Bloomberg Law	

Contact Us

Other Products

Big Law Business

**Professional Learning** 

BNA

Help Topics

**Getting Started** 

BCite Citator

Smart Code

Points of Law

Browse All Help Topics

24/7 BLAW® Help Desk 888.560.2529

help@bloomberglaw.com

2.2.0

Terms of Service Privacy Policy Copyright Accessibility © 2024 Bloomberg Industry Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.